

Acta Crystallographica Section E

## Structure Reports

Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# 1-(4-Hydroxy-2-methyl-1,1-dioxo-2H-1,2-benzothiazin-3-yl)ethanone

Matloob Ahmad,<sup>a\*</sup> Hamid Latif Siddiqui,<sup>a</sup> Muhammad Zia-ur-Rehman,<sup>b</sup> Muhammad Irfan Ashiq<sup>c</sup> and Graham John Tizzard<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Institute of Chemistry, University of the Punjab, Lahore-54590, Pakistan, <sup>b</sup>Applied Chemistry Research Centre, PCSIR Laboratories Complex, Lahore-54600, Pakistan, and <sup>c</sup>School of Chemistry, University of Southampton, England  
Correspondence e-mail: matloob\_123@yahoo.com


Received 3 March 2008; accepted 20 March 2008

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study;  $T = 120$  K; mean  $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.002$  Å;  $R$  factor = 0.035;  $wR$  factor = 0.093; data-to-parameter ratio = 16.1.

In the title compound,  $\text{C}_{11}\text{H}_{11}\text{NO}_4\text{S}$ , the thiazine ring adopts a distorted half-chair conformation. The enolic H atom is involved in an intramolecular  $\text{O}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$  hydrogen bond, forming a six-membered ring. Molecules are linked through weak intermolecular  $\text{C}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$  hydrogen bonds, resulting in chains lying along the  $b$  axis.

## Related literature

For related literature, see: Bihovsky *et al.* (2004); Fabiola *et al.* (1998); Golič & Leban (1987); Zia-ur-Rehman *et al.* (2005, 2006, 2007); Turck *et al.* (1996).



## Experimental

### Crystal data

$\text{C}_{11}\text{H}_{11}\text{NO}_4\text{S}$	$\gamma = 80.0360$ (12) $^\circ$
$M_r = 253.27$	$V = 552.89$ (2) Å <sup>3</sup>
Triclinic, $P\bar{1}$	$Z = 2$
$a = 6.8523$ (1) Å	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$b = 8.3222$ (2) Å	$\mu = 0.29$ mm <sup>-1</sup>
$c = 10.4880$ (2) Å	$T = 120$ (2) K
$\alpha = 72.1321$ (11) $^\circ$	$0.40 \times 0.20 \times 0.14$ mm
$\beta = 77.9619$ (12) $^\circ$	

### Data collection

Bruker–Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer	12691 measured reflections
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 2007)	2529 independent reflections
$T_{\min} = 0.891$ , $T_{\max} = 0.960$	2248 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.033$

### Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.034$	157 parameters
$wR(F^2) = 0.092$	H-atom parameters constrained
$S = 1.11$	$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.31$ e Å <sup>-3</sup>
2529 reflections	$\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.53$ e Å <sup>-3</sup>

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å,  $^\circ$ ).

$D-\text{H}\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}$	$\text{H}\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}\cdots A$
$\text{O3}-\text{H3A}\cdots\text{O4}$	0.84	1.78	2.525 (2)	146
$\text{C4}-\text{H4}\cdots\text{O2}^i$	0.95	2.36	3.193 (2)	146

Symmetry code: (i)  $x, y + 1, z$ .

Data collection: COLLECT (Nonius, 1998); cell refinement: DENZO (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997); data reduction: DENZO and COLLECT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: CAMERON (Pearce & Watkin, 1993); software used to prepare material for publication: WinGX (Farrugia, 1999).

We gratefully acknowledge the Higher Education Commission of Pakistan and the University of the Punjab, Lahore, for financial support.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: PV2072).

## References

- Bihovsky, R., Tao, M., Mallamo, J. P. & Wells, G. J. (2004). *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **14**, 1035–1038.
- Fabiola, G. F., Pattabhi, V., Manjunatha, S. G., Rao, G. V. & Nagarajan, K. (1998). *Acta Cryst.* **C54**, 2001–2003.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1999). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **32**, 837–838.
- Golič, L. & Leban, I. (1987). *Acta Cryst.* **C43**, 280–282.
- Nonius (1998). COLLECT. Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). *Methods in Enzymology*, Vol. 276, *Macromolecular Crystallography*, Part A, edited by C. W. Carter Jr. & R. M. Sweet, pp. 307–326. New York: Academic Press.
- Pearce, L. J. & Watkin, D. J. (1993). CAMERON. Chemical Crystallography Laboratory, University of Oxford, England.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2007). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.
- Turck, D., Busch, U., Heinzl, G., Narjes, H. & Nehmiz, G. (1996). *Clin. Pharm.* **36**, 79–84.
- Zia-ur-Rehman, M. Z., Choudary, J. A. & Ahmad, S. (2005). *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.* **26**, 1771–1775.
- Zia-ur-Rehman, M. Z., Choudary, J. A., Ahmad, S. & Siddiqui, H. L. (2006). *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **54**, 1175–1178.
- Zia-ur-Rehman, M., Choudary, J. A., Elsegood, M. R. J., Siddiqui, H. L. & Weaver, G. W. (2007). *Acta Cryst.* **E63**, o4215–o4216.

**supplementary materials**

*Acta Cryst.* (2008). E64, o788 [ doi:10.1107/S1600536808007721 ]

## 1-(4-Hydroxy-2-methyl-1,1-dioxo-2*H*-1,2-benzothiazin-3-yl)ethanone

M. Ahmad, H. L. Siddiqui, M. Zia-ur-Rehman, M. I. Ashiq and G. J. Tizzard

### Comment

In order to discover new useful therapeutic agents, many new compounds are continuously being synthesized. Benzothiazine dioxides and their derivatives are reported to possess numerous types of biological activities. Owing to their applications as non-steroidal anti-inflammatory compounds (Turck *et al.*, 1996), considerable attention has been given to 1,2-benzothiazine 1,1-dioxides and their precursor intermediates (Golič & Leban, 1987). Various 1,2-benzothiazines derivatives are also known as potent calpain I inhibitors (Bihovsky *et al.*, 2004), while benzothiazine-3-yl-quinazolin-4-ones showed marked activity against *Bacillus subtilis* (Zia-ur-Rehman *et al.*, 2006). As part of a research program synthesizing various bioactive benzothiazines (Zia-ur-Rehman *et al.*, 2005, 2006), we herein report the crystal structure of the title compound, (I).

In the molecule of the title compound (Fig. 1), the thiazine ring exhibits a distorted half-chair conformation with S1/C1/C6/C7 atoms lying in a plane and N1 showing significant departure from the plane due to its pyramidal geometry projecting the methyl group approximately perpendicular to the ring; the deviations of N1 and C8 from the least square plane being -0.895 (2) and -0.413 (3) Å, respectively. Like other 1,2-benzothiazine 1,1-dioxide derivatives (Fabiola *et al.*, 1998; Zia-ur-Rehman *et al.*, 2007), the enolic hydrogen on O3 is involved in intramolecular hydrogen bonding (Table 1). Also, C7—C8 bond length [1.378 Å] (very close to normal C=C bond; 1.36 Å) indicates a partial double-bond character indicating the dominance of enolic form in the molecule. The C1—S1 bond distance [1.7580 (14) Å] is as expected for typical C(sp<sup>2</sup>)—S bond (1.751 Å).

Each molecule is linked to its adjacent one through a hydrogen bond [C4—H4⋯O2] resulting in a chain of molecules lying along the *b* axis (Table 1 and Fig. 2). Each molecule in the chain is linked with its neighbour through weak slipped  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions at inversion centres; the closest C—C contacts are between C3—C3<sup>i</sup> separated by 3.316 Å.

### Experimental

A mixture of 1-(4-hydroxy-1,1-dioxido-2*H*-1,2-benzothiazin-3-yl) ethanone (239 mg, 1.0 mmol) dissolved in acetone (10 ml), aqueous NaOH (3 ml, 5%) and dimethyl sulfate (0.5 ml) was stirred for half an hour followed by careful addition of dilute HCl (5%) to maintain the pH to Congo Red. Precipitates of (I) thus obtained were filtered, washed with water and dried. Colourless crystals were grown by slow evaporation of a solution of (I) in methanol at room temperature.

### Refinement

The hydrogen atoms were included in the refinements in a riding mode with the following constraints: aryl, methyl and hydroxyl C/O—H distances 0.95, 0.98 and 0.84 Å, respectively, and  $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2 U_{\text{eq}}(\text{aryl C})$  and  $1.5 U_{\text{eq}}(\text{methyl C and O})$ .

## Figures




Fig. 1. The molecular structure of (I), showing displacement ellipsoids at the 50% probability level for non-H atoms; dashed lines denote hydrogen bonds.




Fig. 2. Unit cell packing of (I), showing intermolecular H-bonds resulting in the chains of molecules lying along the *b* axis; H atoms not involved in H-bonding have been omitted.

## 1-(4-Hydroxy-2-methyl-1,1-dioxo-2*H*-1,2-benzothiazin-3-yl)ethanone

### Crystal data

$C_{11}H_{11}NO_4S$

$M_r = 253.27$

Triclinic,  $P\bar{1}$

Hall symbol: -P 1

$a = 6.8523$  (1) Å

$b = 8.3222$  (2) Å

$c = 10.4880$  (2) Å

$\alpha = 72.1321$  (11)°

$\beta = 77.9619$  (12)°

$\gamma = 80.0360$  (12)°

$V = 552.892$  (19) Å<sup>3</sup>

$Z = 2$

$F_{000} = 264$

$D_x = 1.521$  Mg m<sup>-3</sup>

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation

$\lambda = 0.71073$  Å

Cell parameters from 15360 reflections

$\theta = 2.9$ – $27.5$ °

$\mu = 0.30$  mm<sup>-1</sup>

$T = 120$  (2) K

Shard, colourless

$0.40 \times 0.20 \times 0.14$  mm

### Data collection

Bruker–Nonius CCD camera on  $\kappa$ -goniostat diffractometer

2529 independent reflections

Radiation source: Bruker Nonius FR591 Rotating Anode

2248 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Monochromator: graphite

$R_{int} = 0.033$

Detector resolution: 9.091 pixels mm<sup>-1</sup>

$\theta_{max} = 27.5$ °

$T = 120$ (2) K

$\theta_{min} = 3.1$ °

$\varphi$  &  $\omega$  scans to fill the asymmetric unit

$h = -8 \rightarrow 8$

Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 2007)

$k = -10 \rightarrow 10$

$T_{min} = 0.891$ ,  $T_{max} = 0.960$

$l = -13 \rightarrow 13$

12691 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
Least-squares matrix: full	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.034$	H-atom parameters constrained
$wR(F^2) = 0.092$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.041P)^2 + 0.3066P]$
$S = 1.11$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
2529 reflections	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} = 0.030$
157 parameters	$\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.31 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods	$\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.53 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
	Extinction correction: none

Special details

**Experimental.** *SADABS* was used to perform the Absorption correction Estimated minimum and maximum transmission: 0.6696 0.7456 The given Tmin and Tmax were generated using the *SHELX SIZE* command

**Geometry.** All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement.** Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted  $R$ -factor  $wR$  and goodness of fit  $S$  are based on  $F^2$ , conventional  $R$ -factors  $R$  are based on  $F$ , with  $F$  set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating  $R$ -factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement.  $R$ -factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on  $F$ , and  $R$ -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )

	$x$	$y$	$z$	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
C1	0.3007 (2)	0.32223 (18)	0.37041 (14)	0.0135 (3)
C2	0.2992 (2)	0.31686 (19)	0.50412 (15)	0.0164 (3)
H2	0.3246	0.2117	0.5702	0.020*
C3	0.2595 (2)	0.4692 (2)	0.53933 (16)	0.0191 (3)
H3	0.2575	0.4684	0.6304	0.023*
C4	0.2228 (2)	0.6220 (2)	0.44168 (16)	0.0199 (3)
H4	0.1973	0.7252	0.4665	0.024*
C5	0.2229 (2)	0.62640 (19)	0.30802 (15)	0.0184 (3)
H5	0.1983	0.7320	0.2422	0.022*
C6	0.2593 (2)	0.47505 (18)	0.27093 (14)	0.0148 (3)
C7	0.2558 (2)	0.47385 (19)	0.13150 (14)	0.0153 (3)
C8	0.2396 (2)	0.32881 (19)	0.09905 (14)	0.0157 (3)
C9	0.2507 (2)	0.3316 (2)	-0.04098 (16)	0.0204 (3)
C10	0.2518 (3)	0.1701 (2)	-0.07671 (18)	0.0332 (4)
H10A	0.1136	0.1532	-0.0764	0.050*
H10B	0.3113	0.0740	-0.0098	0.050*

## supplementary materials

---

H10C	0.3310	0.1775	-0.1672	0.050*
C11	0.0024 (2)	0.1379 (2)	0.25625 (16)	0.0210 (3)
H11A	-0.0710	0.2301	0.2933	0.031*
H11B	-0.0022	0.0298	0.3279	0.031*
H11C	-0.0598	0.1321	0.1819	0.031*
N1	0.21494 (18)	0.17080 (16)	0.20411 (12)	0.0152 (3)
O1	0.56456 (16)	0.12980 (14)	0.24794 (11)	0.0202 (2)
O2	0.30103 (17)	-0.00587 (13)	0.42939 (11)	0.0212 (3)
O3	0.27313 (18)	0.62312 (14)	0.03808 (11)	0.0220 (3)
H3A	0.2785	0.6110	-0.0392	0.033*
O4	0.26152 (18)	0.46897 (16)	-0.13289 (11)	0.0264 (3)
S1	0.36145 (5)	0.13574 (4)	0.31790 (3)	0.01424 (12)

### Atomic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
C1	0.0111 (6)	0.0140 (7)	0.0157 (7)	-0.0025 (5)	-0.0013 (5)	-0.0046 (5)
C2	0.0141 (7)	0.0192 (7)	0.0154 (7)	-0.0029 (6)	-0.0034 (5)	-0.0033 (6)
C3	0.0167 (7)	0.0257 (8)	0.0181 (7)	-0.0037 (6)	-0.0031 (6)	-0.0101 (6)
C4	0.0189 (7)	0.0187 (8)	0.0251 (8)	-0.0044 (6)	-0.0014 (6)	-0.0107 (6)
C5	0.0181 (7)	0.0145 (7)	0.0205 (7)	-0.0024 (5)	-0.0011 (6)	-0.0032 (6)
C6	0.0128 (7)	0.0160 (7)	0.0148 (7)	-0.0026 (5)	-0.0010 (5)	-0.0034 (5)
C7	0.0120 (7)	0.0171 (7)	0.0132 (7)	0.0003 (5)	-0.0008 (5)	-0.0009 (5)
C8	0.0135 (7)	0.0193 (7)	0.0129 (7)	0.0005 (5)	-0.0023 (5)	-0.0037 (5)
C9	0.0164 (7)	0.0277 (8)	0.0176 (7)	0.0039 (6)	-0.0049 (6)	-0.0092 (6)
C10	0.0463 (11)	0.0342 (10)	0.0245 (9)	0.0043 (8)	-0.0133 (8)	-0.0164 (7)
C11	0.0152 (7)	0.0230 (8)	0.0249 (8)	-0.0049 (6)	-0.0035 (6)	-0.0056 (6)
N1	0.0144 (6)	0.0165 (6)	0.0157 (6)	-0.0018 (5)	-0.0045 (5)	-0.0050 (5)
O1	0.0138 (5)	0.0234 (6)	0.0246 (6)	0.0025 (4)	-0.0036 (4)	-0.0108 (5)
O2	0.0292 (6)	0.0133 (5)	0.0202 (5)	-0.0044 (4)	-0.0086 (5)	0.0005 (4)
O3	0.0287 (6)	0.0182 (6)	0.0143 (5)	-0.0019 (5)	-0.0022 (5)	0.0009 (4)
O4	0.0300 (6)	0.0322 (7)	0.0140 (5)	0.0020 (5)	-0.0058 (5)	-0.0038 (5)
S1	0.01453 (19)	0.01270 (19)	0.01608 (19)	0.00015 (13)	-0.00467 (13)	-0.00442 (13)

### Geometric parameters ( $\text{\AA}$ , $^\circ$ )

C1—C2	1.387 (2)	C8—C9	1.448 (2)
C1—C6	1.404 (2)	C9—O4	1.251 (2)
C1—S1	1.7580 (14)	C9—C10	1.501 (2)
C2—C3	1.394 (2)	C10—H10A	0.9800
C2—H2	0.9500	C10—H10B	0.9800
C3—C4	1.388 (2)	C10—H10C	0.9800
C3—H3	0.9500	C11—N1	1.4864 (19)
C4—C5	1.391 (2)	C11—H11A	0.9800
C4—H4	0.9500	C11—H11B	0.9800
C5—C6	1.397 (2)	C11—H11C	0.9800
C5—H5	0.9500	N1—S1	1.6438 (12)
C6—C7	1.471 (2)	O1—S1	1.4319 (11)
C7—O3	1.3316 (17)	O2—S1	1.4314 (11)

C7—C8	1.378 (2)	O3—H3A	0.8400
C8—N1	1.4430 (18)		
C2—C1—C6	122.15 (13)	O4—C9—C10	119.76 (14)
C2—C1—S1	120.70 (11)	C8—C9—C10	120.31 (15)
C6—C1—S1	117.13 (11)	C9—C10—H10A	109.5
C1—C2—C3	118.54 (14)	C9—C10—H10B	109.5
C1—C2—H2	120.7	H10A—C10—H10B	109.5
C3—C2—H2	120.7	C9—C10—H10C	109.5
C4—C3—C2	120.14 (14)	H10A—C10—H10C	109.5
C4—C3—H3	119.9	H10B—C10—H10C	109.5
C2—C3—H3	119.9	N1—C11—H11A	109.5
C3—C4—C5	121.04 (14)	N1—C11—H11B	109.5
C3—C4—H4	119.5	H11A—C11—H11B	109.5
C5—C4—H4	119.5	N1—C11—H11C	109.5
C4—C5—C6	119.79 (14)	H11A—C11—H11C	109.5
C4—C5—H5	120.1	H11B—C11—H11C	109.5
C6—C5—H5	120.1	C8—N1—C11	114.26 (11)
C5—C6—C1	118.30 (13)	C8—N1—S1	112.75 (10)
C5—C6—C7	121.47 (13)	C11—N1—S1	116.79 (10)
C1—C6—C7	120.23 (13)	C7—O3—H3A	109.5
O3—C7—C8	122.30 (13)	O2—S1—O1	119.39 (7)
O3—C7—C6	114.96 (13)	O2—S1—N1	108.47 (7)
C8—C7—C6	122.73 (13)	O1—S1—N1	107.24 (6)
C7—C8—N1	120.44 (12)	O2—S1—C1	109.27 (7)
C7—C8—C9	120.64 (14)	O1—S1—C1	108.93 (7)
N1—C8—C9	118.91 (13)	N1—S1—C1	102.15 (6)
O4—C9—C8	119.92 (14)		

Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\text{\AA}$ ,  $^\circ$ )

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
O3—H3A $\cdots$ O4	0.84	1.78	2.525 (2)	146
C4—H4 $\cdots$ O2 <sup>i</sup>	0.95	2.36	3.193 (2)	146

Symmetry codes: (i)  $x, y+1, z$ .

Fig. 1






Fig. 2

